Filed 10/12/04 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

V.	_		
North Dakota State Hospital, v. R.R., Respondent and Appellan No. 20040265 Appeal from the District Court of Stutsman County, Southeast Judicial District the Honorable John E. Greenwood, Judge. AFFIRMED. Per Curiam. Jay A. Schmitz, Assistant State's Attorney, 511 Second Avenue SE	_	2004 ND 183	
No. 20040265 Appeal from the District Court of Stutsman County, Southeast Judicial District the Honorable John E. Greenwood, Judge. AFFIRMED. Per Curiam. Jay A. Schmitz, Assistant State's Attorney, 511 Second Avenue SE	Interest of R.R.		
R.R., No. 20040265 Appeal from the District Court of Stutsman County, Southeast Judicial District the Honorable John E. Greenwood, Judge. AFFIRMED. Per Curiam. Jay A. Schmitz, Assistant State's Attorney, 511 Second Avenue SE	North Dakota State Hospital	,	Petitioner and Appellee
No. 20040265 Appeal from the District Court of Stutsman County, Southeast Judicial District the Honorable John E. Greenwood, Judge. AFFIRMED. Per Curiam. Jay A. Schmitz, Assistant State's Attorney, 511 Second Avenue SE	v.		
Appeal from the District Court of Stutsman County, Southeast Judicial District the Honorable John E. Greenwood, Judge. AFFIRMED. Per Curiam. Jay A. Schmitz, Assistant State's Attorney, 511 Second Avenue SE	R.R.,		Respondent and Appellant
the Honorable John E. Greenwood, Judge. AFFIRMED. Per Curiam. Jay A. Schmitz, Assistant State's Attorney, 511 Second Avenue SE	_ 	No. 20040265	
Per Curiam. Jay A. Schmitz, Assistant State's Attorney, 511 Second Avenue SE			y, Southeast Judicial District,
Jay A. Schmitz, Assistant State's Attorney, 511 Second Avenue SE	AFFIRMED.		
	Per Curiam.		
			511 Second Avenue SE,

Jodie K. Scherr, P.O. Box 356, Valley City, N.D. 58072-0356, for respondent

and appellant.

Interest of R.R. No. 20040265

Per Curiam.

[¶1] R.R. appeals a district court order authorizing his continued treatment at the North Dakota State Hospital at Jamestown until November 19, 2004, or until further court order. R.R. argues he is improperly being held in the secure forensic unit of the State Hospital, and the district court erred in not ruling he should be treated in a less secure unit. A district court must assess, before making its decision in an involuntary treatment hearing, the availability and appropriateness of treatment programs for the respondent "other than hospitalization." N.D.C.C. § 25-03.1-21(1). The statute requires a district court only to determine whether treatment other than hospitalization is appropriate, not which unit in the hospital is appropriate. We, therefore, affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(2).

[¶2] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. Dale V. Sandstrom William A. Neumann Mary Muehlen Maring

> I concur in the result. Carol Ronning Kapsner